

# Memorandum to the City of Markham Committee of Adjustment

October 07, 2022

**File:** A/044/22  
**Address:** 30 Sir Caradoc Place, Markham  
**Applicant:** Kiran Sharma  
**Agent:** SHDESIGN (Randa Zabaneh)  
**Hearing Date:** Wednesday, October 19, 2022

The following comments are provided on behalf of the East Team:

The applicant is requesting relief from the following requirements of the “R1 – Residential” zone under By-law 1229, as amended, to permit:

- a) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (ii):**  
a maximum building depth of 18.80 meters, whereas the By-law permits a maximum building depth of 16.8 meters;
- b) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (vi):**  
a maximum floor area ratio of 50.16 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum floor area ratio of 45.0 percent;
- c) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (i):**  
a maximum building height of 10.04 meters, whereas the By-law permits a maximum building height of 9.8 meters; and,
- d) **By-law 1229, Table 11.1:**  
a rear yard setback of 24.02 feet, whereas the By-law requires a minimum rear yard setback of 25 feet.

as they relate to a proposed detached dwelling.

## BACKGROUND

The application initially requested eight variances for building height, deck projection, front yard encroachment, floor area ratio, lot coverage, building depth, rear yard encroachment and rear yard setback (refer to Appendix ‘C’). At its meeting on June 8, 2022, the Committee of Adjustment expressed concerns with the cumulative impact of the requested variances. Some of the members were particularly concerned with the increase in building height and floor area ratio. As such, the application was deferred sine die to provide the applicant an opportunity to address the concerns raised by the Committee. Details of the meeting are documented in the minutes (refer to Appendix ‘C’). Staff’s previous comments are identified in the staff report dated May 30, 2022 (refer to Appendix ‘D’).

On August 26, 2022, the applicant submitted revised drawings in response to the Committee’s comments, which show the following changes:

- Reduction in gross floor area from 310.13 m<sup>2</sup> (3,338.21 ft<sup>2</sup>) to 307.56 m<sup>2</sup> (3,310.52 ft<sup>2</sup>). Consequently, the proposed floor area ratio has been reduced from 50.74 percent to 50.16 percent;
- Reduction in building height from 10.64 m (34.9 ft) to 10.04 m (32.93 ft);

- Reduction in lot coverage from 35.6 percent to 34.99 percent to comply with the by-law requirement. Consequently, the lot coverage variance is no longer required;
- Reduction in deck projection from 3.25 m (10.66 ft) to 3 m (9.84 ft) to comply with the by-law requirement. Consequently, the deck projection variance is no longer required;
- Reduction in porch encroachment into the required front yard from 23 in (0.58 m) to 18 in (0.45 m) to comply with the by-law requirement. Consequently, the front yard encroachment variance is no longer required.
- Relocate the stairs associated with the rear deck away from the rear yard to comply with the rear yard encroachment requirement. Consequently, the rear yard encroachment variance is no longer required.

### **COMMENTS**

Staff's previous comments on the requested variances remain applicable. Staff are of the opinion that the requested variances will result in a dwelling that is in keeping with the intended scale of infill development of the neighbourhood. Staff are satisfied that the requested variances meet the four tests of the Planning Act and have no objection to the approval of the application.

### **PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

As of October 12, 2022, no new written submissions were received since the public was given notice of the new variances. It is noted that additional information may be received after the writing of the report, and the Secretary-Treasurer will provide information on this at the meeting.

### **CONCLUSION**

Planning Staff have reviewed the application with respect to Section 45(1) of The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, and are of the opinion that the requested variances meet the four tests of the Planning Act. Staff recommend that the Committee consider public input in reaching a decision.

The onus is ultimately on the applicant to demonstrate why they should be granted relief from the requirements of the zoning by-law, and how they satisfy the tests of the Planning Act required for the granting of minor variances.

Please refer to Appendix "A" for conditions to be attached to any approval of this application.

PREPARED BY:



---

Carlson Tsang, Senior Planner, East District

**APPENDIX "A"**

**CONDITIONS TO BE ATTACHED TO ANY APPROVAL OF FILE A/044/22**


1. The variances apply only to the proposed development as long as it remains.
2. That the variances apply only to the subject development, in substantial conformity with the plan(s) attached as Appendix "B" to this Staff Report, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from the Director of Planning and Urban Design or designate that this condition has been fulfilled to his or her satisfaction.
3. Submission of a Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, prepared by a qualified arborist in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009), as amended, to be reviewed and approved by the City, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations that this condition has been fulfilled to his/her satisfaction, and that any detailed Siting, Lot Grading and Servicing Plan required as a condition of approval reflects the Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan.
4. That prior to the commencement of construction or demolition, tree protection be erected and maintained around all trees on site in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual, including street trees, in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009) as amended, and inspected by City Staff to the satisfaction of the Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations.
5. That tree replacements be provided and/or tree replacement fees be paid to the City if required in accordance with the Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation that this condition has been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Tree Preservation Technician or Director of Operations.

PREPARED BY:



---

Carlson Tsang, Senior Planner, East District



**LOT AREA**  
 LOT AREA = 613.16 sq.m (6,600 sq.ft.)

**LOT COVERAGE (CALCS) - MAX 35%**

- FIRST FLR AREA (includes garage) = 1,932.74 sq.ft.
- FRONT PORCH AREA = 108.21 sq.ft.
- REAR PATIO AREA = 268.63 sq.ft.

**TOTAL = 2,309.58 sq.ft.**

**PROVIDED 34.99% - REQUIRED 35.0%**

**NET FLOOR AREA - 45%**



- **FIRST FLR AREA**  
 1,921.46 sq.ft. (includes attached garage)  
 (excludes open to below @ stairs 11.28 sq.ft.)
- **SECOND FLR AREA**  
 1,389.06 sq.ft. (excludes open to below 399.24 sq.ft.)

**TOTAL HOUSE AREA = 3,310.52 sq.ft.**  
**50.16% - REQUIRED 45%**

PART OF LOT 171  
 REGISTERED PLAN M-1392  
 CITY OF MARKHAM

REFER TO GRADING PLAN FOR GRADES  
 SWALES BY OTHERS

SIR CARADOC PLACE  
 (BY REGISTERED PLAN M-1392)  
 PIN 02925-0370(LT)



**FRONT YARD AREA: - 153.26 sq.m**

- FRONT PORCH AREA = 10.71 sq.m
- WALKWAY & STAIRS = 3.66 sq.m
- DRIVEWAY = 47.15 sq.m
- TOTAL (HARD) = 61.52 sq.m @ 40.14%**

ALL SITE PLAN INFORMATION IS TAKEN FROM  
 SURVEYOR'S VLADIMIR DOSEN SURVEYING  
 ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS  
 DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2021

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 03, 2022
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A.	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
 YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING

- RESIDENTIAL DESIGN
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS


Cell: (416) 414-9176  
 Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STOREY DWELLING

**SHARMA RESIDENCE AT**  
 30 Sir CARADOC PLACE  
 MARKHAM, ONTARIO


**SITE PLAN**

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET S.P.
DATE FEB, 2022	SCALE 1:150	FILE



NOTE:-  
BASEMENT TO BE USED AS  
PART OF A SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING  
ONLY AND NOT AS A SECOND SUITE

**BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN**



NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 3, 2022
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE  
DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS  
- CUSTOM HOMES  
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS  
Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
**SHARMA RESIDENCE**  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN		
DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	A-1
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	FILE

**LOT AREA**  
 LOT AREA = 613.16 sq.m (6,600 sq.ft.)

**LOT COVERAGE (CALCS) - MAX 35%**



- FIRST FLR AREA (includes garage) = 1,932.74 sq.ft.
- FRONT PORCH AREA = 108.21 sq.ft.
- REAR PATIO AREA = 268.63 sq.ft.

**TOTAL = 2,309.58 sq.ft.**  
 PROVIDED 34.99% - REQUIRED 35.0%

**NET FLOOR AREA - 45%**

- FIRST FLR AREA  
 1,921.46 sq.ft. (includes attached garage)  
 (excludes open to below @ stairs 11.28 sq.ft.)
- SECOND FLR AREA  
 1,389.06 sq.ft. (excludes open to below 399.24 sq.ft.)

**TOTAL HOUSE AREA = 3,310.52 sq.ft**  
 50.16% - REQUIRED 45%



FIRST FLOOR PLAN

DETAIL NO.  
 DETAIL SHEETING

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 3, 2022
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
 YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
 - RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS  
 - CUSTOM HOMES  
 - ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
 Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
 SHARMA RESIDENCE  
 30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
 MARKHAM, ONTARIO

FIRST FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-2



REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 03, 2022
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

SH DESIGN

YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE



- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

SECOND FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-3



SECOND FLOOR PLAN

DETAIL NO.  
SHEET NO.  
REVISIONS


NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
 YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE  
 DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
 - RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS  
 - CUSTOM HOMES  
 - ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS  
 Cel.: (416) 414-9176  
 Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
 SHARMA RESIDENCE  
 30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
 MARKHAM, ONTARIO

ROOF PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-4



ROOF PLAN

DETAIL NO.  
SHEET NO.  
REVISIONS

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**

YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

FRONT ELEVATION

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-5



FRONT ELEVATION

DETAIL NO.  
SHEET NO.  
REVISIONS

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

**SH DESIGN**

YOUR VISION. OUR EXPERTISE

- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION  
"EXTERIOR SIDE"

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET A-6
DATE JAN. 2022	SCALE 3/16" = 1'-0"	FILE



RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION  
"EXTERIOR SIDE"

DETAIL NO.  
DETAIL SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	
2	REVISED FOR C.O.A	JULY 30, 2022

SH DESIGN

YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS  
- CUSTOM HOMES  
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com


PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

REAR ELEVATION

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-1



REAR ELEVATION



LEFT SIDE ELEVATION

DETAIL NO.  
DETAIL SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	
2	REVISED FOR COA	JULY 30, 2022


**SH DESIGN**  
 YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE  
 DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
 - RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS  
 - CUSTOM HOMES  
 - ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
 Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
 SHARMA RESIDENCE  
 30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
 MARKHAM, ONTARIO

LEFT SIDE ELEVATION  
 "INTERIOR SIDE"

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-8



2. **A/044/22**

**Owner Name: Mr. Kiran Sharma**  
**Agent Name: SHDESIGN (Randa Zabaneh)**  
**30 Sir Caradoc Place, Markham**  
**PLAN M1392 LOT 171**

The applicant was requesting relief from the requirements of By-law 1229, as amended, to permit:

- a) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (i):**  
a maximum height of 10.64 meters, whereas the By-law permits a maximum height of 9.8 meters;
- b) **By-law 142-95, Section 2.2 (b)(i):**  
a deck to project a maximum of 3.251 meters, whereas the By-law permits a maximum deck projection of 3.0 meters;
- c) **By-law 1229, Section 11.2 (c)(i):**  
a porch to encroach 23.0 inches into the required front yard, whereas the By-law permits a maximum encroachment of 18.0 inches into any required yards;
- d) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (vi):**  
a maximum floor area ratio of 50.74 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum floor area ratio of 45.0 percent;
- e) **By-law 1229, Section Table 11.1:**  
a maximum lot coverage of 35.6 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum lot coverage of 35.0 percent;
- f) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (ii):**  
a depth of 19.15 meters, whereas the By-law permits a maximum of 16.8 meters;
- g) **By-law 1229, Section 11.2 (c) (i):**  
a stair encroachment of 95 inches into the required rear yard, whereas the By-law permits a maximum of 18.0 inches into any required yards;
- h) **By-law 1229, Table 11.1:**

a rear setback of 23.17 feet, whereas the By-law requires a minimum of 25 feet;

as it related to a proposed detached dwelling.  
**(East District, Ward 4)**

The Chair introduced the application.

The agent, Samir Hinnawi, appeared on behalf of the application. The applicant advised that the deferral from the previous meeting of May 25<sup>th</sup> was requested to address variances that had been missed. The applicant advised that there were no design changes to the proposal as previously submitted to the Committee.

The applicant spoke to the variances being requested, and provided their justification on the variances, and believe the variances to minor in nature.

Elizabeth Brown, of 65 Lincoln Green Drive, and Committee of Adjustment representative for the Markham Village Sherwood Conservation Residents Association, appeared to speak to the application. Elizabeth advised that Laura Galati, owner of 15 Sir Constantine Drive provided written comment.

Chair Knight confirmed that correspondence from Laura Galati was received.

Elizabeth Brown spoke to the property at 28 Sir Caradoc Place being constructed within the constraints of the by-law.

Elizabeth presented Sections 8.2.3.5 and 9.13.2 of the Official Plan as it relates to Infill Development within the City, and brought specific attention to the height and massing requirements of the Official Plan, which speaks to limiting the size and massing of a development to ensure it fits within the existing character of neighbourhood.

Elizabeth spoke to the open to below area proposed and the result it has on the overall massing of the building.

Elizabeth spoke to the height of the building, and the articulation presented in the design. She indicated how the slope of the lot would impact how the massing would appear much larger at the rear elevation than it would from the front.

Elizabeth spoke to the height of the proposed eaves being higher than the existing two-storey houses in the neighbourhood.

Elizabeth spoke to the rear porch elevation not showing the gas fireplace indicated on the plan, and inquired what impact the fireplace structure would have in the massing.

Elizabeth requested Committee refuse the application, and encouraged the applicant to revise the submission to reduce the variances being requested.

Member Reingold voiced their agreement with Elizabeth Brown and the combined effect the variances had on the proposal. Member Reingold indicated they believed the proposed height would have caused the structure to not blend in with the neighbourhood.

Member Yan agreed the cumulative number of variances impacts the scope of the application, specifically the height and floor area ratio, and would like the applicant to make revisions to their proposal.

Member Gutfreund reinforced the comments made by Members Yan and Reingold with respect to the height and floor area ratio, and indicated they wanted to see the height and floor area reduced, with the floor area ratio being reduced to under 50%.

Member Prasad suggested the applicant modify their proposal to reduce the variances requested, and indicated they would move for a deferral if the applicant agreed.

The applicant advised they were open to moving for a deferral, and provided additional context to address the comments provided by the interested parties and the Members of Committee.

**Moved By: Arun Prasad**  
**Seconded By: Jeamie Reingold**

THAT Application No **A/044/22** be Deferred, sine die.

Resolution Carried

**NEW BUSINESS:**

1. **B/018/20**

**Owner Name: Andrea Conlon**  
**Agent Name: Gregory Design Group (Shane Gregory)**  
**36 Washington Street, Markham**  
**PL 18 BLK D PT T 12 PT LT 13 64R7685 PT 1**

The applicant was requesting provisional consent to:

## Appendix D

File: 22.113545.000.00.MNV

Date: 10/13/22  
MM/DD/YY

### Memorandum to the City of Markham Committee of Adjustment May 30, 2022

**File:** A/044/22  
**Address:** 30 Sir Caradoc Place, Markham  
**Applicant:** Randa Zabaneh  
**Agent:** SHDESIGN  
**Hearing Date:** Wednesday June 8, 2022

The following comments are provided on behalf of the East Team. The applicant is requesting relief from the following requirements of the "R1-Residential" zone under By-law 1229, as amended, to permit:

- a) **By-law 1229, Section Table 11.1:**  
a maximum lot coverage of 35.6 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum lot coverage of 35.0 percent;
- b) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (vi):**  
a maximum floor area ratio of 50.74 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum floor area ratio of 45.0 percent;
- c) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (i):**  
a maximum height of 10.64 m, whereas the By-law permits a maximum height of 9.8 m;
- d) **By-law 142-95, Section 2.2 (b)(i):**  
a deck to project a maximum of 3.251 m, whereas the By-law permits a maximum deck projection of 3.0 m;
- e) **By-law 1229, Section 11.2 (c)(i):**  
a porch to encroach 23.0 in into the required front yard, whereas the By-law permits a maximum encroachment of 18.0 in into any yards.
- f) **By-law 99-90, Section 1.2 (ii):**  
A maximum building depth of 19.15 m, whereas the By-law permits a maximum building depth of 16.8 m;
- g) **By-law 1229, Section 11.2 (c)(i):**  
A stair encroachment of 95 in into the required rear yard, whereas the By-law permits a maximum encroachment of 18 in into any required yards
- h) **By-law 1229, Section 11.2 (c)(i):**  
A minimum rear yard setback of 23.17 ft, whereas the By-law requires a minimum rear yard setback of 25 ft,

The variances relate to a proposed detached dwelling.

### BACKGROUND

The application was initially scheduled to be heard by the Committee of Adjustment on May 25, 2022. However staff identified additional variances required for the proposed development after public notices were given. Consequently, the Committee of Adjustment

deferred the application to allow the applicant to work with staff to ensure all the required variances have been accurately identified.

A second Zoning Preliminary Review was undertaken by the City's zoning examiner which identifies three additional variances related to building depth, rear yard setback and rear yard encroachment. The application has been amended accordingly.

### **Property Description**

The 613.17 m<sup>2</sup> (6,600 ft<sup>2</sup>) subject property is located at the north bend of Sir Caradoc Place, south of Ramona Boulevard and west of Wootten way North. The property is located within an established residential neighbourhood comprised of a mix of one and two-storey detached dwellings. There is an existing one-storey detached dwelling on the property, which according to assessment records, was constructed in 1972. Mature vegetation exists across the property including several large mature trees and a row of cedar hedges along the rear lot line.

### **Proposal**

The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing dwelling and construct a new two-storey detached dwelling with a gross floor area of 310.13 m<sup>2</sup> (3,338.21 ft<sup>2</sup>). The proposal includes the removal of one existing tree in the rear yard.

### **Official Plan and Zoning**

Official Plan 2014 (partially approved on Nov 24/17, and updated on April 9/18)

The subject property is designated "Residential Low Rise", which provides for low rise housing forms including single detached dwellings. Section 8.2.3.5 of the Official Plan outlines infill development criteria for the "Residential Low Rise" designation with respect to height, massing, and setbacks. This criteria is established to ensure that infill development is appropriate for the site and generally consistent with the zoning requirements for adjacent properties and properties along the same street, while accommodating a diversity of building styles. In considering applications for infill development approval in a "Residential Low Rise" area, which includes variances, infill development is required to meet the general intent of these development criteria.

### Zoning By-Law 1229

The subject property is zoned R1 under By-law 1229, as amended, which permits one single detached dwelling per lot. The proposed development does not comply with the by-law requirement with respect to lot coverage, rear yard setback and yard encroachment.

### Deck By-law 142-95

The deck at the rear of the proposed dwelling exceeds the maximum projection permitted by By-law 142-95, as amended.

### Residential Infill Zoning By-law 99-90

The subject property is also subject to the Residential Infill Zoning By-law 99-90. The intent of this By-law is to ensure the built form of new residential construction will generally maintain the character of existing neighbourhoods. It specifies development standards for building depth, garage projection, garage width, floor area ratio, height, and number of storeys. The proposed development does not comply with the Infill By-law requirement with respect to the building height, building depth and floor area ratio.

### **Zoning Preliminary Review (ZPR) Undertaken**

A Zoning Preliminary Review (ZPR) was undertaken on May 20, 2022 to confirm the variances required for the proposed development.

## **COMMENTS**

The Planning Act states that four tests must be met in order for a variance to be granted by the Committee of Adjustment:

- a) The variance must be minor in nature;
- b) The variance must be desirable, in the opinion of the Committee of Adjustment, for the appropriate development or use of land, building or structure;
- c) The general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law must be maintained;
- d) The general intent and purpose of the Official Plan must be maintained.

### **Increase in Maximum Lot Coverage**

The applicant is requesting relief for a maximum lot coverage of 35.6 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum floor area ratio of 35 percent. This represents an increase of approximately 3.72 m<sup>2</sup> (40 ft<sup>2</sup>). Staff consider the variance to be a modest increase from the by-law requirement and have no concern.

### **Increase in Maximum Floor Area Ratio**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit a maximum floor area ratio of 50.74 percent, whereas the By-law permits a maximum floor area ratio of 45 percent. The variance will facilitate the construction of a two-storey detached dwelling with a gross floor area of 310.13 m<sup>2</sup> (3,338.21 ft<sup>2</sup>), whereas the By-law permits a dwelling with a maximum gross floor area of 275.93 m<sup>2</sup> (2,970 ft<sup>2</sup>). This represents an increase of approximately 34.2 m<sup>2</sup> (368.12 ft<sup>2</sup>).

Floor Area Ratio is a measure of the interior square footage of the dwelling as a percentage of the net lot area however; it is not a definitive measure of the mass of the dwelling.

The proposed dwelling layout complies with the required front and side yard setback which ensures appropriate separation from the street and adjacent homes. The requested rear yard setback variance, as discussed below, mainly applies to the portion of the building partially below grade, which will unlikely affect the rear yard amenity space on the property as the proposed rear yard deck is located above this area. The requested lot coverage variance, as discussed above, only represents a modest increase from the maximum allowance and therefore; is not anticipated to result in an over development of the site. Staff are satisfied that the proposed dwelling is sympathetic to the zoning provisions that establish the prescribed building envelop. Staff are satisfied with the overall scale and massing of the proposed dwelling and have no concerns with the requested variance.

### **Increase in Maximum Building Height**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit a maximum building height of 10.64 m (34.9 ft), whereas the By-law permits a maximum building height of 9.8 m (32.15 ft). This represents an increase of 0.84 m (2.75 ft).

The By-law calculates building height using the vertical distance of building or structure measured between the level of the crown of the street to the highest point of the roof surface. It should be noted that the proposed grade of the front of the dwelling is approximately 1.06 m (3.47 ft) above the crown of road.

The proposed dwelling is designed with appropriate architectural treatments such as wall articulations and multiple gable roofs to help break up the vertical massing of the building. In addition, there are no requested variances to the side yard setback requirements, which mitigates any potential concerns over shadowing and loss of spaciousness that this

community currently enjoys. Staff consider the requested variance to be minor in nature and have no concerns.

#### **Increase in Deck Projection**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit a maximum deck projection of 3.25 m (10.66 ft) into the rear yard, whereas the by-law permits a maximum deck projection of 3 m (9.84 ft). This represents an increase of 0.25 m (0.82 ft).

The deck will provide an appropriate separation distance of approximately 2.82 m (9.25 ft) from the adjacent property to the south to alleviate any privacy concerns. Staff consider the requested variance to be minor in nature and have no concerns.

#### **Increase in Front Yard Encroachment**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit the front covered porch to encroach 23 in (0.58 m), whereas the By-law permits a maximum encroachment of 18 in (0.45 m) into any required yards. This represents an increase of approximately 5 in (0.12 m).

Notwithstanding the encroachment, staff note that there will be sufficient open space available in the front yard area. Further, given that the proposed porch represents a small component of the overall massing of the dwelling, is unenclosed and, the principle front building wall will comply with the required front yard setback, staff do not anticipate the variance will result in any adverse impact on the streetscape character of the neighborhood. Staff have no concerns with the variance.

#### **Increase in Building Depth**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit a maximum building depth of 19.15 m (62.82 ft), whereas the By-law permits a maximum building depth of 16.8 m (55.11 ft). This represents an increase of approximately 2.35 m (7.7 ft).

The requested variance includes the front and a portion of the cellar area which adds approximately 3.97 m (13 ft) to the overall depth of the building. The main component of the building is consistent with the depth of the existing home and complies with the by-law requirement. Staff have no concern with the requested variance.

#### **Increase in Rear Yard Encroachment**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit the stairs associated with the rear covered deck to encroach 95 in (2.41 m) into the required rear yard, whereas the By-law permits a maximum encroachment of 18 in (0.45 m) into any required yards. This represents an increase of 77 in (1.95 m)

Notwithstanding the deviation from the by-law requirement, the requested variance is not anticipated to adversely impact the rear yard amenity space due to the size of the property. The stairs will be appropriately setback from the adjacent property to alleviate any privacy concerns. Staff have no concern with requested variance.

#### **Reduction in Rear Yard Setback**

The applicant is requesting relief to permit a minimum rear yard setback of 23.17 ft (7.06 m), whereas the By-law requires a minimum rear yard setback of 25 ft (7.62 m). This represents a reduction of 1.83 ft (0.55 m).

The requested variance is attributed to the nanny's room in the cellar under the rear covered deck which extends approximately 12 ft (3.65 m) into the rear yard. More than half of the nanny's room will be below grade which minimizes any visual impact on the

massing of the dwelling. The main component of the proposed building will have a rear yard setback 33.82 ft (10.31 m) which exceeds the minimum setback requirement. Given the variance only applies to a portion of the proposed building partially below grade, staff have no concern with the requested variance.

### **Tree Protection and Compensation**

Should the application be approved, staff recommend that the tree related conditions detailed in Appendix "A" be adopted to ensure that the applicant installs the appropriate tree protection barriers, and provides appropriate compensation, if necessary. Staff also note that the applicant is required to apply for and obtain a tree permit from the City for any proposed injury to, or removal of any trees that have a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 20.0 cm (7.87 in), or more on the subject property or neighbouring properties.

### **PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

No written submissions were received as of May 30, 2022 since the application was recirculated. It is noted that additional information may be received after the writing of the report, and the Secretary-Treasurer will provide information on this at the meeting.

### **CONCLUSION**

Planning Staff have reviewed the application with respect to Section 45(1) of The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, and are of the opinion that the requested variances meet the four tests of the Planning Act. Staff recommend that the Committee consider public input in reaching a decision.

The onus is ultimately on the applicant to demonstrate why they should be granted relief from the requirements of the zoning by-law, and how they satisfy the tests of the Planning Act required for the granting of minor variances.

Please see Appendix "A" for conditions to be attached to any approval of this application.

PREPARED BY:



---

Carlson Tsang, Senior Planner, East District

REVIEWED BY:



---

Stacia Muradali, Development Manager, East District

**APPENDIX "A"**

**CONDITIONS TO BE ATTACHED TO ANY APPROVAL OF FILE A/044/22**


1. The variances apply only to the proposed development for as long as it remains.
2. That the variances apply only to the subject development, in substantial conformity with the batch stamped plans attached as Appendix B to this Staff Report, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from the Director of Planning and Urban Design or designate that this condition has been fulfilled to his or her satisfaction.
3. Submission of a Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, prepared by a qualified arborist in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009), as amended, to be reviewed and approved by the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation that this condition has been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate.
4. That tree replacements be provided and/or tree replacement fees be paid to the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate, if required, in accordance with the Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation that this condition has been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate.
5. That prior to the commencement of construction or demolition, tree protection be erected and maintained around all trees on site, including street trees, in accordance with the City's Streetscape Manual (2009), as amended, and inspected by the Tree Preservation Technician, or their designate, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation that this condition has been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate.

CONDITIONS PREPARED BY:



---

Carlson Tsang, Senior Planner, East District



NOTE:-  
BASEMENT TO BE USED AS  
PART OF A SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING  
ONLY AND NOT AS A SECOND SUITE

**BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN**



REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 3, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Call: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STOREY  
DWELLING  
**SHARMA RESIDENCE**  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

BASEMENT FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-1

**LOT AREA**  
 LOT AREA = 613.16 sq.m (6,600 sq.ft.)

**LOT COVERAGE (CALCS) - MAX 35%**

- FIRST FLR AREA (includes garage) = 1,932.74 sq.ft.
- FRONT PORCH AREA = 115.24 sq.ft.
- REAR PATIO AREA = 291.35 sq.ft.


**TOTAL = 2,339.33 sq.ft.**

**PROVIDED 35.44% - REQUIRED 35.0%**


**NET FLOOR AREA - 45%**

- FIRST FLR AREA  
 1,921.51 sq.ft. (includes attached garage)  
 (excludes open to below @ stairs 11.23 sq.ft.)
- SECOND FLR AREA  
 1,416.76 sq.ft. (excludes open to below 399.24 sq.ft.)

**TOTAL HOUSE AREA = 3,338.27 sq.ft**  
**50.58% - REQUIRED 45%**



FIRST FLOOR PLAN



NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	FEB. 3, 2022

**SH DESIGN**  
 YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING

- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9116  
 Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY  
 DWELLING

**SHARMA RESIDENCE**

30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
 MARKHAM, ONTARIO

FIRST FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-2



DETAIL NO.  
DETAIL SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	

SH DESIGN

YOUR VISION. OUR EXPERTISE


- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

ROOF PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-4



ROOF PLAN



FRONT ELEVATION

DETAIL NO.  
SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	

**SH DESIGN**

YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGN
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

FRONT ELEVATION

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET <b>A-5</b>
DATE JAN. 2022	SCALE 3/16" = 1'-0"	FILE

DETAIL NO.  
DETAIL SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	

SH DESIGN

YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

- DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING
- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com


PROPOSED 2-STOREY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION  
"EXTERIOR SIDE"

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-6



RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION  
"EXTERIOR SIDE "



LEFT SIDE ELEVATION

DETAIL NO.  
SHEET NO.

REVISIONS

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	

**SH DESIGN**  
YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING


- RESIDENTIAL DESIGNS
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO


LEFT SIDE ELEVATION  
"INTERIOR SIDE"

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	A-8



**REAR ELEVATION**

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR ZONING REVIEW	



**SH DESIGN**  
YOUR VISION. OUR EXPERTISE

DESIGN CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING  
- RESIDENTIAL  
- COMMERCIAL  
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Call: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY  
DUELLING  
SHARMA RESIDENCE  
30 SIR CARADOC PL.  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

**REAR ELEVATION**

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET
		A-1
DATE	SCALE	FILE
JAN. 2022	3/16" = 1'-0"	

**LOT AREA**  
LOT AREA = 613.16 sq.m (6,600 sq.ft.)

**LOT COVERAGE (CALCS) - MAX 35%**

- FIRST FLR AREA (includes garage) = 1,932.74 sq.ft.
- FRONT PORCH AREA = 115.24 sq.ft.
- REAR PATIO AREA = 291.35 sq.ft.

TOTAL = 2,339.33 sq.ft.

PROVIDED 35.44% - REQUIRED 35.0%

**NET FLOOR AREA - 45%**

**- FIRST FLR AREA**

1,921.51 sq.ft. (includes attached garage)  
(excludes open to below @ stairs 11.23 sq.ft.)

**- SECOND FLR AREA**

1,416.76 sq.ft. (excludes open to below 399.24 sq.ft.)



TOTAL HOUSE AREA = 3,338.27 sq.ft.

50.58% - REQUIRED 45%

PART OF LOT 171  
REGISTERED PLAN M-1392  
CITY OF MARKHAM

REFER TO GRADING PLAN FOR GRADES  
SWALES BY OTHERS

SIR CARADOC PLACE  
(BY REGISTERED PLAN M-1392)  
PIN 02925-0370(LT)



FRONT YARD AREA: - 153.26 sq.m

FRONT PORCH AREA = 10.71 sq.m  
WALKWAY & STAIRS = 3.66 sq.m  
DRIVEWAY = 47.15 sq.m  
TOTAL (HARD) = 61.52 sq.m @ 40.14%

ALL SITE PLAN INFORMATION IS TAKEN FROM  
SERVEYOR'S VLADIMIR DOSEN SURVEYING  
ONTARIO LAND SERVEYORS  
DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2021

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT & TENDER	OCT. 23, 2019

**SH DESIGN**  
YOUR VISION, OUR EXPERTISE  
DESIGN, CONSULTATIONS, DRAFTING

- RESIDENTIAL DESIGN
- CUSTOM HOMES
- ADDITIONS & RENOVATIONS

Cell: (416) 414-9176  
Email: shdesign@rogers.com

PROPOSED 2-STORY DWELLING

SHARMA RESIDENCE AT  
30 Sir CARADOC PLACE  
MARKHAM, ONTARIO

SITE PLAN

DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	SHEET S.P.
DATE JAN. 2022	SCALE 1:150	FILE